Approved Open Board Meeting June 12, 2018

THE SCHOOL BOARD OF BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT

April 24, 2018 Tuesday, 10:05 a.m.

MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING

The School Board of Broward County, Florida, met in regular session at 10:15 a.m. in the Board Room of the Kathleen C. Wright Administrative Center, 600 Southeast Third Avenue, Fort Lauderdale, Florida. Present were: School Board Members Nora Rupert, Chair (absent); Heather Brinkworth, Vice Chair; Robin Bartleman; Abby M. Freedman; Patricia Good; Donna P. Korn; Laurie Rich Levinson; Ann Murray; Dr. Rosalind Osgood; Robert W. Runcie, Superintendent of Schools; and Barbara J. Myrick, Esq.

(The Chair was absent and the Vice Chair assumed the role of Chair for this meeting.)

<u>Call to Order</u> The Chair called the meeting to order. Josetta Campbell, Principal, and students from Sheridan Hills Elementary School (appearing through video conference) led the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America.

<u>Moments of Silence</u> were held for a student from Dolphin Bay Elementary and for Mattie Benson, an educator and principal.

Minutes for Approval Motion was made by Ms. Korn, seconded by Mrs. Good and carried, to approve the official minutes for the following Board Meetings: Mrs. Freedman, Ms. Murray, and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (6-0 vote)

- March 20, 2018 Regular School Board Meeting
- April 10, 2018 Special Expulsions

<u>Added/Changed Items</u> The Superintendent requested that the Board permit the following changes to the agenda:

- Minutes for Approval Added: March 20, 2018 Regular School Board Meeting
- •Speakers Added: Timothy Sternberg
- Items Revised: JJ-6
- Items Added: JJ-7

The Chair approved the changes and announced the changes to the Agenda proposed by the Superintendent were accepted by the Chair after being determined that good cause had been established for said changes.

<u>Close Agenda</u> Upon motion by Ms. Korn, seconded by Mrs. Good and carried, the Agenda was approved and declared closed. Mrs. Freedman, Ms. Murray, and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (6-0 vote)

Special Presentations

- Resolution in Support of "A Safe Haven for Newborns" (Resolution #18-66)
- We Care
- •Resolution in Support of School Library Month (Resolution #18-75) (Recognition of Indian Trace Elementary as Broward's First Recipient of the Florida Power Library School Award)
- Resolution in Support of National Better Hearing and Speech Month (Resolution #18-84)
- •2018 Broward County Public Schools Model Professional Learning Communities School Award
- Resolution in Support of Autism Awareness Month (Resolution #18-74)
- Proclamation in Recognition of Sexual Assault Awareness and Child Abuse Prevention (Denim Day)
- Resolution in Support of American Muslim Heritage Month (Resolution #18-89)
- •No Place for Hate School Presentations/Anti-Defamation League

These special presentations may be viewed in their entirety at: http://www.browardschools.com/School-Board/Special-Presentations

Reports The following reports were presented:

•Broward County Association of Student Councils and Student Advisor to the Board - Stephen Marante, Student Advisor

Superintendent's Report

The Superintendent spoke on the School Safety Forum held last Wednesday, April 18, 2018 at Plantation High, in which he and District staff provided updates on the current school safety protocol, state funding for safe schools, mental health, counseling services and support, and the Safety, Music & Art, Athletics, Renovation, & Technology (SMART) program in particular. He said most importantly, the Forum provided an opportunity to hear directly from the community about their experiences, concerns, and ideas in regards to this topic. He shared that they were currently developing a District-wide security risk assessment for all schools in order to compete for a share of the \$98 million in state funding for costs associated with the physical security measures of schools. Although the amount District-wide was not sufficient, it was a start he said they would do their best to garner as much as possible. The Superintendent went on to say that the state anticipated to award grant funds sometime in the first quarter of 2019. During the Forum he directed staff to look into a number of issues and concerns that were raised by constituents and he asked them to provide an update at this time as well.

Valerie Wanza, Chief School Performance & Accountability Officer, spoke briefly on Flanagan High, Pioneer Middle, and the clear backpacks.

Michaelle Valbrun-Pope, Executive Director, Student Support Initiatives, talked about additional counseling and mental health services.

Jeffrey Moquin, Chief of Staff, addressed critical remarks that were brought up twice by an individual on the progress of the SMART program, in which the individual cited information from an interview with TaxWatch.

The Superintendent closed with a brief comment on the PROMISE program and informed the public that there would be another forum held on May 7, 2018 from 5:30 p.m. until 7:00 p.m. at Piper High School to ensure accurate information was provided to the public, specifically, on school discipline, and to receive feedback from the public as well.

These reports may be viewed in their entirety at: https://becon223.eduvision.tv/Default.aspx?q=d0F7qPKKlcfmtjfULqo9AQ%3d%3d (Click on School Board Meeting 4-24-18.)

Speakers (12:00 p.m.)

Ilona Machado Michael Sirbola Kristina Braziel Trudy Jermanovich

Consent Agenda Following identification of those items Board Members and members of the public indicated they would like considered separately, motion was made by Mrs. Good, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to approve the Consent Agenda for the remaining items (identified by *). Mrs. Freedman, Ms. Murray, and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (6-0 vote)

ITEMS

(Consent agenda items will be noted by a single letter, and open agenda items will be noted by double letters in accordance with Policy 1100A.)

G. OFFICE OF HUMAN RESOURCES

*G-1. Personnel Recommendations for Instructional Appointments and Leaves for 2017-2018 School Year (Approved)

Approved the personnel recommendations for the 2017-2018 appointments and leaves as listed in the attached Executive Summary and respective lists for instructional staff. All recommendations are made with the understanding that these individuals will comply with regulations/policies as set forth by the Florida Department of Education and The School Board of Broward County, Florida.

G-2. Personnel Recommendations for Instructional Separation of Employment or Discipline for the 2017-2018 School Year (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Good, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to approve the personnel recommendations for separation of employment or discipline as listed in the attached respective lists for instructional staff. All recommendations are made with the understanding that these individuals will comply with regulations/policies as set forth by the Florida Department of Education and The School Board of Broward County, Florida. Dr. Osgood and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote)

Motion to Table (Carried)

Motion was made by Mrs. Good, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to table this item until later in the meeting. Mrs. Bartleman, Dr. Osgood, and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote.

A vote was taken on the Motion to table.

Following a vote on Item BB-1, this item was brought back to the table for discussion through a motion made by Ms. Korn, seconded by Mrs. Good.

Mrs. Bartleman commented there were 42 teacher resignations and she wanted to know how many were in their 90-day probationary period. She said at some point, administrators needed to invest in their teachers and their Professional Development (PD) than to have teachers at this number leaving the District and giving students day-to-day substitutes. She shared that she knew several teachers that were leaving the District to go to Palm Beach and Dade County.

Dr. Wanza responded all the teachers on the list as resignations were not probationary-released and some were not effective until June even though they appeared on today's agenda. She said they would go through the data so it was not assumed everyone on the list was a probationary-released teacher.

Mrs. Bartleman inquired if a teacher was not the right "fit" for the school, could that teacher be placed in a pool to be hired at another school.

Dr. Wanza answered in the affirmative and stated there was a process for those teachers to be hired if there was a vacancy at another school.

Susan Rockelman, Director, Talent Acquisition & Operations, clarified that the list presented to the Board were instructional teachers that had submitted a resignation or retirement. The majority on the list were not first year teachers. There were approximately 25-27 on the list that were not effective until June and forward, but they had submitted their resignation now so the school could prepare and hire a teacher now for next year. She said they track the information when teachers leave the District to go to Palm Beach County and did not see a substantial increase in teachers leaving. She agreed that sometimes a teacher may leave because of the "fit" at the school, in which her staff tries to place them at another school, but other teachers were leaving to move out of state, go back to school, or to have a baby.

Mrs. Bartleman asked if that data could be sent to her. She also inquired how many day-to-day substitutes were currently in the classrooms.

Mrs. Rockelman replied approximately 103.

A vote was taken on this item.

G-3. Personnel Recommendations for Non-Instructional Appointments and Leaves for the 2017-2018 and 2018-2019 School Years (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Bartleman, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to approve the personnel recommendations for appointments and reassignments as listed on the attached Executive Summary, respective lists and individual appointments for Non-Instructional Employees. All recommendations are made pending security clearance and with the understanding that these individuals will comply with regulations/policies as set forth by the Florida Department of Education and The School Board of Broward County, Florida. Mrs. Freedman, Ms. Murray, and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (6-0 vote)

No discussion was held on this item.

Following a vote on this item, newly-appointed District personnel were recognized and congratulated by the Board.

G-4. Personnel Recommendations for Non-Instructional Separation of Employment and Discipline for the 2017-2018 School Year (Including one (1) Separated Name: K. Foster Postponed from 4/10/18 SBOM) (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Good, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to approve the personnel recommendations for separation of employment and discipline as listed on the attached respective lists for Non-Instructional staff. All recommendations are made with the understanding that these individuals will comply with regulations/policies as set forth by the Florida Department of Education and The School Board of Broward County, Florida. Mrs. Bartleman, Ms. Murray, Dr. Osgood, and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (5-0 vote)

Mrs. Brinkworth referred to page 12, which was a letter that mentioned when the suspension would be served and she wanted to ensure a new letter was issued because it was not included in the additional backup.

Eric Chisem, Director, Talent Acquisition & Operations, replied he believed it was facilitated by the Special Investigative Unit (SIU) department. He said he was aware that the employee was notified again that the suspension dates and actual item were deferred to this meeting, but he would have to confirm with SIU that it provided a letter with the new dates for the actual suspension. He indicated the information would have to be communicated to the employee if it was not done already and informed the Board that traditionally SIU facilitates the process; however, if it was a school or department, the dates would not be communicated until after Board approval.

A vote was taken on this item.

Approved the recommended supplemental pay positions of employees for the 2017-2018 school/fiscal year.

GG. OFFICE OF HUMAN RESOURCES

(The Chief of Staff assumed the Superintendent's seat on the dais.)

GG-1. Successor Agreement of the Collective Bargaining Agreement between The School Board of Broward County, Florida and the Police Benevolent Association (PBA) effective July 1, 2017 - June 30, 2020 (Adopted)

Motion was made by Dr. Osgood, seconded by Mrs. Good and carried, to adopt the amendments of the Collective Bargaining Agreement between The School Board of Broward County, Florida and the Police Benevolent Association (PBA) effective July 1, 2017. Mrs. Freedman, Mrs. Rich Levinson, and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (6-0 vote)

Staff presented the amendments of the Collective Bargaining Agreement between The School Board of Broward County, Florida and the Police Benevolent Association (PBA). Recognition and thanks were given to the individuals and/or groups for their hard work and support during the bargaining process.

A vote was taken on this item.

A. RESOLUTIONS

AA. RESOLUTIONS

B. BOARD MEMBERS

BB. BOARD MEMBERS

BB-1. Affiliation with Florida School Boards Association, Inc. (Approved)

Motion was made by Ms. Korn, seconded by Mrs. Good and carried, to approve membership in the Florida School Boards Association (FSBA). Ms. Murray, Dr. Osgood, and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (6-0 vote)

Mrs. Good referred to the legislation that was passed requiring travel for School Board Members associated with some of the conferences that would need to come before the Board if it exceeded \$500 and she wanted to remind the Board, Superintendent, and Chief of Staff, that the travel would need to be coordinated differently since it was now required to be a board item.

Mr. Moquin responded that he would work with the Legislative Affairs department and indicated the change would not take effect until next year.

A vote was taken on this item.

CC. BOARD POLICIES

CC-1. Proposed Revised Job Description for the Director, Network Integration Position (Adopted)

Motion was made by Mrs. Good, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to adopt the proposed revised job description for the Director, Network Integration position. This was the final reading. Dr. Osgood and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote)

Agenda Items CC-1 through CC-3 were moved concurrently.

Motion to Separate (Carried)

Motion was made by Mrs. Good, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to separate Item CC-3 in order to vote down.

A vote was taken on the Motion to Separate.

No discussion was held on the remaining items.

A vote was taken on Items CC-1 and CC-2.

CC-2. Proposed New Job Description for the Professional Development Specialist Position (Adopted)

Motion was made by Mrs. Good, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to adopt the proposed new job description for the Professional Development Specialist position. This was the final reading. Dr. Osgood and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote)

Agenda Items CC-1 through CC-3 were moved concurrently.

Motion to Separate (Carried)

Motion was made by Mrs. Good, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to separate Item CC-3 in order to vote down.

A vote was taken on the Motion to Separate.

No discussion was held on the remaining items.

A vote was taken on Items CC-1 and CC-2.

CC-3. Proposed Revised Job Description for the Supervisor I, Customer Support Services Position (POSTPONED FROM 03/20/18) (Not Approved)

MEMO TO VOTE DOWN

Motion was made by Mrs. Good, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to approve the revised job description for the Supervisor I, Customer Support Services position. Approval is requested to advertise the position after the first reading. This was the first reading. Dr. Osgood and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (0-7 vote)

Agenda Items CC-1 through CC-3 were moved concurrently.

Motion to Separate (Carried)

Motion was made by Mrs. Good, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to separate Item CC-3 in order to vote down.

A vote was taken on the Motion to Separate.

No discussion was held on this item.

A vote was taken on this item.

CC-4. Proposed Revised Job Description for the Supervisor I, Customer Support Services Position (Not Approved)

Motion was made by Ms. Korn, seconded by Mrs. Rich Levinson and carried, to approve the proposed revised job description for the Supervisor I, Customer Support Services Position. This was the first reading. Dr. Osgood and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. Mrs. Bartleman, Mrs. Brinkworth, Mrs. Good, Ms. Korn, Ms. Murray, and Mrs. Rich Levinson voted no. (1-6 vote)

Mrs. Rich Levinson spoke extensively about this item at the last meeting and she still felt the same way. She stated she made it clear in the workshop that additional cuts were needed and departments should be realigned so other people in the department would pick up those jobs. She indicated she would not be supporting this item.

Mrs. Brinkworth said her comments were along the same line as her colleague's and she, too, would not be supporting the item.

A vote was taken on this item.

CC-5. Proposed Revised Job Description for the Process Analyst, Procurement & Warehousing Services Position (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Bartleman, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to approve the proposed revised job description for the Process Analyst, Procurement & Warehousing Services Position. This was the first reading. Dr. Osgood and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote)

Mrs. Brinkworth asked staff how long the two (2) of the three (3) positions had been vacant.

Maurice Woods, Chief Strategy & Operations Officer, replied approximately 10 months. He said they advertised a couple of times for this item but have had a challenge finding candidates that met all the requirements for this particular job description. He indicated they were in the process of revising the job description to be more accommodating to the types of candidates they received that were interested in applying for this role.

Ms. Korn inquired what the impact was for the two (2) remaining positions that were vacant.

Mary Coker, Director, Procurement & Warehousing Services, responded there would be a huge impact. One of the positions would help with the manual work on the Financial Analysis Worksheet (FAW). The other position was support for the Single Point of Entry (SPOE).

Ms. Korn stated she wanted the Superintendent to decide what was critical and what was not in terms of hiring. She said without that direction, she believed the Board would have to make that decision.

Mr. Woods informed the Board that they received feedback from the Board on the budget and these positions and based on that, they made the judgment call that this could be considered a critical need/function in the organization.

Mr. Runcie commented that he had not reviewed this and suggested it be deferred.

Ms. Korn said she would ask for the item to be deferred.

Mr. Chisem commented that this was the first reading, which would allow staff to come back in 30 days and provide the necessary time for the Superintendent to review. He said they would have the supporting documentation when it was brought back for the final reading.

Ms. Korn indicated she would not defer if it would be coming back and she looked forward to receiving the backup before the final reading.

Ms. Murray stated when meeting with staff she had asked, as they improve operations and add new software, that support staff needed to provide adequate and accurate reports on purchasing. During that meeting, she was informed about these jobs and she told staff they could not hire someone and then change the job description. In the past job descriptions were changed to fit the person hired and she did not want to see it happen again. After having that discussion with staff she said she would be able to support the item.

Mrs. Brinkworth appreciated the comments from staff that these were necessary positions but said the Board requested the Superintendent to look at the organization as a whole to determine where to make the cuts.

A vote was taken on this item.

DD. OFFICE OF THE CHIEF AUDITOR

E. OFFICE OF STRATEGY & OPERATIONS

*E-1. Pre-Qualification of Contractors - Superintendent's Recommendations Regarding Pre-Qualification Certification (Approved)

Approved the recommendation by the Superintendent indicated in the Superintendent Recommendations - Tracking Report.

*E-2. Supplier Diversity Outreach Program Report as of April 2, 2018 (Received)

Received Supplier Diversity Outreach Program (SDOP) Report.

*E-3. Recommendation of \$500,000 or Less - 58-039N - Library Materials (Approved)

Approved the recommendation to award the above Invitation to Bid (ITB). Contract Term: April 25, 2018 through May 31, 2020, 2 Years, 1 Month; User Department: Various; Awarded Amount: \$4,100, 000; Awarded Vendor(s): 16; Small/Minority/Women Business Enterprise Vendor(s): None.

EE. OFFICE OF STRATEGY & OPERATIONS

EE-1. Recommendation of \$500,000 or Greater - 18-145T - Pool Maintenance and Supplies (Approved)

Motion was made by Ms. Korn, seconded by Mrs. Good and carried, to approve the recommendation to award the above Invitation to Bid (ITB). Contract Term: June 1, 2018 through May 31, 2021, 3 Years; User Department: Athletics Department; Award Amount: \$1,400,000; Awarded Vendor(s): Gomez Chemicals Inc. d/b/a Beach-O-Rama; Small/Minority/Women Business Enterprise Vendor(s): Gomez Chemicals Inc. d/b/a Beach-O-Rama. Dr. Osgood and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote)

April 24, 2018

Minutes of Regular Meeting Page 10 of 33 Agenda Items EE-1 through EE-3 were moved concurrently.

No discussion was held on these items.

A vote was taken on these items.

EE-2. Recommendation of \$500,000 or Greater - 19-027N - Industrial Education Equipment and Supplies (Catalog) (Approved)

Motion was made by Ms. Korn, seconded by Mrs. Good and carried, to approve the recommendation to award the above Invitation to Bid (ITB). Contract Term: July 1, 2018 through August 31, 2021, 3 Years, 1 Month; User Department: Various; Award Amount: \$665,000; Awarded Vendor(s): 9; Small/Minority/Women Business Enterprise Vendor(s): None. Dr. Osgood and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote)

Agenda Items EE-1 through EE-3 were moved concurrently.

No discussion was held on these items.

A vote was taken on these items.

EE-3. Recommendation for Renewal and Additional Spending Authority -15-014B - SOMAT Waste Disposal System Maintenance and OEM Repair Parts (Approved)

Motion was made by Ms. Korn, seconded by Mrs. Good and carried, to approve the second renewal and additional spending authority for above Invitation to Bid (ITB). New Contract Term: July 1, 2014 through June 30, 2019, 5 Years; User Department: Physical Plant Operations (PPO); Additional Requested Amount: \$180,000; New Award Amount: \$1,680,000; Awarded Vendor(s): Soflo, Inc.; Small/Minority/Women Business Enterprise Vendor(s): None. Dr. Osgood and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote)

Agenda Items EE-1 through EE-3 were moved concurrently.

No discussion was held on these items.

A vote was taken on these items.

EE-4. Recommendation to Approve Agreement - 59-031E - Chess4Life Learning Platform (Approved)

Motion was made by Ms. Korn, seconded by Mrs. Good and carried, to approve the recommendation to award the above agreement. Contract Term: July 1, 2018 through June 30, 2021, 3 Years; User Department: Applied Learning; Award Amount: \$435,000; Awarded Vendor(s): Chess4Life LLC; Small/Minority/Women Business Enterprise Vendor(s): None. Ms. Korn, Dr. Osgood, and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (6-0 vote)

Mrs. Bartleman inquired if the chess program came out of the General fund.

Susan Cantrick, Director, Applied Learning, replied the funding was in her budget from the General fund and reoccurred every year.

Mrs. Bartleman commented she was expected to make some tough decisions regarding cuts in order to find money for employees. She said when Academics reviewed its programs, staff needed to decide if this was a priority compared to other programs. She wanted to know what would be coming off the table in order to continue this program.

Daniel Gohl, Chief Academics Officer, responded the process to make cuts was taking place and people have been informed that some of their initiatives would not be continued. This item fell just on the side of continuation and the Board would see a comprehensive budget coming from the Chief Financial Officer (CFO) indicating what would be included in the Academics' budget. He said certain items needed lead time for PD, contract renewal, and dates. This was one that fell off cycle but made the cut by his office to be continued because of its sharing between at the primary to intermediate transition of benefits of logics and mathematics within a gaming system.

Mrs. Bartleman asked if there was data on how many people implemented this program consistently.

Mr. Gohl replied yes, they had utilization statistics and they changed the method they were using in order to reduce the costs. This was a different vendor than the one used last year. He said they would follow up with the Board on the utilization and other statistics they had previously versus what they had now and what the cost savings were from last year.

Ms. Cantrick added the previous vendor only addressed second and third grade students, they were now using a platform that was available to all students. It had been used at over 200 schools (for elementary, middle, and high) and over 1 million games have been played online. She informed the Board that they track the face-to-face games and online games.

Mr. Runcie shared that the program was not only reduced in cost, but was now available to all students.

Mrs. Bartleman questioned if there were instructions for the online.

Ms. Cantrick replied yes, video and instructions were provided online and they also send staff to the schools to stay all day for any teachers during their planning period that want to learn how to utilize the platform.

Mrs. Bartleman asked how often were people taking advantage of the video.

Ms. Cantrick said she would inquire about the video usage.

Mrs. Good asked staff to clarify if the contract had a termination clause that stated the District could cancel with no cause because she wanted to ensure, since it was a three-year contract, the District had the ability to cancel if necessary due to funding issues.

Mr. Woods answered in the affirmative.

Ms. Murray commented staff mentioned they had a record of which schools were participating in the program but in the last couple of months she had helped teachers in her district develop after-school clubs for chess so the numbers may be dropping off in one area and picking up in other areas and staff needed to be conscious of that happening.

Ms. Cantrick responded this was also made available to the coaches for afterschool chess and she said there was a strong likelihood those schools were using the platform.

Mrs. Rich Levinson stated educating students was the District's core business. She believed what students learn from games such as chess, brain growth, problem solving, creativity, and so forth, would help them throughout their life and she was very supportive in continuing this program. She commented that it had also taken on a life of its own with the municipalities where it was played in all of the cities and it had become a community game. She said it was very important to offer and nurture this aspect for the students.

Mrs. Brinkworth indicated this program was not utilized regularly when her child was in second and third grade at the time this became a District initiative and said the question about usage was a legitimate question. At first this was a "no" for her because she needed more data, but given the response staff provided and assurances from the Superintendent, she would support the item; however, going forward she would need data when items come to the Board.

A vote was taken on this item.

EE-5. Recommendation to Approve Agreement - 58-137R - Temporary Debris Management Sites and Other Related Services (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Good, seconded by Mrs. Bartleman and carried, to approve the recommendation to award the above Agreement. Contract Term: April 25, 2018 through November 30, 2022, 4 Years, 6 Months; User Department: Risk Management; Award Amount: None; Awarded Vendor(s): Broward County, Board of County Commissioners; Small/Minority/Women Business Enterprise Vendor(s): None. Dr. Osgood and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote)

Mrs. Good inquired if this was an exclusive contract with the county where the District could only use the county for these sites.

Aston Henry, Director, Risk Management, replied no.

Mrs. Good asked if that was stipulated in the contract.

Mr. Henry said no, it was not in the contract.

Mrs. Good asked if staff had experienced any issues in the past using alternatives.

Mr. Henry responded, no.

Mrs. Good stated if this was not an exclusive contract with the county, she suggested that language should be included in the contract to show it was not exclusive. She asked if any of the schools were used as temporary sites. In addition, the contract did not speak to the number sites used.

Mr. Henry replied no schools were being used. He said there would be three (3) sites utilized: State Road 7 in the central area, Sterling Road in the southwest area, and Powerline Road in the north area.

Mrs. Good questioned if the sites should be stipulated in the contract.

Mr. Henry responded in the past, the number of sites was not added, but they had five (5) secondary sites that could also be utilized.

Mrs. Good was concerned the information was not embedded in the agreement and believed it should be stated somewhere. She asked if there was anything prohibiting the District from entering into an agreement with a local municipality.

Mr. Henry replied no. He said they would explore other options but this contract was primarily because of its convenient location as opposed to utilizing the landfill, which would be backed up in a major hurricane.

Mrs. Good stated she would support the item and was happy to hear there was flexibility to go elsewhere.

A vote was taken on this item.

EE-6. Recommendation for Additional Spending Authority - 17-057E - Lexmark Toner & Supplies (Approved)

Motion was made by Ms. Korn, seconded by Mrs. Good and carried, to approve the request for additional spending authority for the above Invitation to Bid (ITB). Contract Term: October 19, 2016 through October 18, 2018, 2 Years; User Department: District-wide; Additional requested amount \$560,000; New Award Amount: \$2,050,000; Awarded Vendor: The Office Pal, Inc.; Small/Minority/Women Business Enterprise Vendor(s): None. Dr. Osgood and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote)

Mrs. Good commented that this contract would end in October of this year and she wanted to know if staff planned to go out for another ITB.

Ms. Coker replied they put out a Request for Proposals (RFP) to have the printer, copying machines, and toner all-inclusive. They were reviewing what that solution would look like and based on that determination they would have a better understanding if they should go out to bid for toner or proceed specifically with that solution. She said they had a Plan B if it was not considered to be part of the entire solution they were looking for and would have enough time to go out to decide for the Managed Print Services (MPS).

A vote was taken on this item.

F. OFFICE OF ACADEMICS

FF. OFFICE OF ACADEMICS

FF-1. Agreement between The School Board of Broward County, FL (SBBC) and Plant4; a Nonprofit Organization (Approved as Amended)

Motion was made by Ms. Korn, seconded by Mrs. Good and carried, to approve the agreement between The School Board of Broward County, Florida (SBBC) and Plant4 for a Controlled Environment Agriculture (CEA) project. **This motion was superseded by a Motion to Amend (page 17).** Dr. Osgood and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote)

Ms. Korn referred to page 4, e., and asked staff if that meant the basketball court would not be removed.

Leslie Brown, Chief Portfolio Services Officer, replied there would be a removal of the basketball court.

Ms. Korn stated the way it read it said, "...in lieu of removing and relocating...," which implied something different. She said the verbiage just needed to be changed to reflect the correct intent.

Ms. Myrick suggested a motion be made to change the language to indicate it would be "...in lieu of replacing...," and said it would be in agreement with the contract.

Ms. Korn referred to page 7, Section 2.04.8, Sale of Lease Land, fourth line, and inquired how "commencing the sale" was defined.

Mrs. Brown responded when they bring the property for disposition for interest for sale, that would be the opportunity for them to alert PLANT4 that the property would go out for sale. She said it should be a disposition for the sale of the piece of property rather than the commencement.

Ms. Korn asked who would be responsible for any improvements or structural damage to the property should a natural disaster occur.

(The Chief of Staff assumed the Superintendent's seat on the dais.)

Mrs. Brown replied language was included in the contract that stipulated PLANT4 would be responsible for anything on their part of the leased land but it did not include if their property damaged the school. She would research to determine if that would be covered under another insurance policy through the District.

Ms. Korn referred to page 2, Section 2.02.1 a., and was concerned whether or not PLANT4 would pay the funding/cost amount if the improvements exceeded the estimated amount. She wanted to ensure if the amount was higher than the \$1,600,000 amount, that PLANT4 would still pay the costs.

Mrs. Brown explained there were three (3) different funding pieces in this agreement. One was for the ancillary building for \$2.3 million. Another funding piece was the \$1.6 million mentioned and the third one was for PD and curriculum development, in which there was a very defined cost associated with it that was agreed upon by both parties.

Ms. Korn apologized and stated she was referring to the ancillary building on page 4.

Mrs. Brown believed the District would be covered for any expenses by PLANT4. She said there was nothing specific that stated if the costs were more than anticipated; however, the intent was that it was an approximate figure and that those costs would be borne by PLANT4.

Ms. Korn was excited about this and said she was not trying to de-rail it but rather to make it a very clean contract so as not to have any issues created.

Motion to Amend (Carried)

Motion was made by Ms. Korn, seconded by Mrs. Good and carried, to amend language in the agreement on page 4 of 24, 2.02.2 Structure (e), to read, "PLANT4 shall work with the School Site Principal to improve opportunities for physical activities in lieu of replacing the existing basketball court." On page 7 of 24, 2.04.8 Sale of Leased Land, fourth line, to read, "...and sixty-four days (364) prior to the disposition for the sale of the School Site or Leased Land. Dr. Osgood and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote)

A vote was taken on the Motion to Amend.

Mrs. Good referred to page 8, under 2.04.14 and asked if it included background checks. She also inquired if there would be any insecticides for the pods.

Ms. Cantrick acknowledged background checks would be included. In addition, there would not be any insecticides because the pod itself created the enclosure and would prevent any pests from coming inside.

Mrs. Rich Levinson congratulated staff on this partnership and for the exciting opportunity for students to be fully immersed and engaged in solving local and global agricultural problems.

A vote was taken on this item as amended.

H. OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL

HH. OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL

- I. OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT
- II. OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT
- J. OFFICE OF FACILITIES & CONSTRUCTION

J-1. Authorization to Advertise for Bids - Margate Middle School - Margate -LIVS Associates, LLC - SMART Program Renovations - Project No. P.001836 (Approved)

Motion was made by Ms. Korn, seconded by Mrs. Rich Levinson and carried, to approve Authorization to Advertise for Bids, Margate Middle School, LIVS Associates, LLC, SMART Program Renovations, Project No. P.001836. Dr. Osgood and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote)

No discussion was held on this item.

A vote was taken on this item.

J-2. Second Amendment of Program Manager - Owner's Representative Services Agreement - Heery International, Inc. - Name Change (Approved)

Motion was made by Ms. Korn, seconded by Ms. Murray and carried, to approve the Second Amendment to the Agreement for Program Manager - Owner's Representative Services, from Heery International, Inc. to CBRE/Heery, Inc. Dr. Osgood and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. Mrs. Brinkworth abstained from the vote. (6-0 vote)

Mrs. Brinkworth advised the Board she would be abstaining from the vote due to a conflict of interest, in which her husband was employed with CBRE.

No other discussion was held on this item.

A vote was taken on this item.

*J-3. Amendment to Professional Services Agreements - Versions 3 and 4 -Multiple Design Professionals - Multiple Projects - SMART Program Renovations (Approved)

Approved the Amendment to the Professional Services Agreements Versions 3 and 4, Multiple Design Professionals, Multiple Projects, SMART Program Renovations.

JJ. OFFICE OF FACILITIES & CONSTRUCTION

JJ-1. Professional Services Agreement - Song & Associates, Inc. - Package A: Hallandale Magnet High School (f.k.a. Hallandale High School) - P.002115 - Park Trails Elementary School - P.002116 - SMART Program Renovations - RFQ 18-134C (Approved)

Motion was made by Ms. Korn, seconded by Mrs. Good and carried, to approve Professional Services Agreement with Song & Associates, Inc., Package A: Hallandale Magnet High School (f.k.a. Hallandale High School), Project No. P.002115 and Park Trails Elementary School, Project No. P.002116, SMART Program Renovations, RFQ 18-134C. Dr. Osgood and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote)

Agenda Items JJ-1, JJ-2, and JJ-4 were moved concurrently.

No discussion was held on these items.

A vote was taken on these items.

JJ-2. Professional Services Agreement - D.L. Fields Consultants, LLC, d/b/a DLFC Architects (DLFC) - Package A: Sanders Park Elementary Magnet School (f.k.a. Sanders Park ES) - Pompano Beach - P.002132 - SMART Program Renovations - RFQ 19-016C (Approved)

Motion was made by Ms. Korn, seconded by Mrs. Good and carried, to approve Professional Services Agreement with D.L. Fields Consultants, LLC, d/b/a DLFC Architects (DLFC), Package A: Sanders Park Elementary Magnet School (f.k.a. Sanders Park Elementary School), Project No. P.002132, SMART Program Renovations, RFQ 19-016C. Dr. Osgood and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote)

Agenda Items JJ-1, JJ-2, and JJ-4 were moved concurrently.

No discussion was held on these items.

A vote was taken on these items.

JJ-3. Construction Services Agreement (Construction Manager at Risk for CMAR Services) - D. Stephenson Construction, Inc. - Stoneman Douglas High School - Parkland - SMART Program Renovations - Project No. P.000817 - RFQ 19-012C (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Good, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to approve the recommendation to award the above Construction Services Agreement. Dr. Osgood and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote)

Mrs. Brinkworth inquired if the contractor was part of any teams for the Big 3 (Blanche Ely, Northeast, and Stranahan High Schools) projects. Her question was in regards to the capacity of the vendors being chosen to handle the projects and she did not want to see any delays for the projects at Northeast, Stranahan, or Stoneman Douglas High, and she wanted to know if capacity had been considered at all as part of the conversation.

Leo Bobadilla, Chief Facilities Officer, replied yes, it was partnering with Gilbane for Northeast and Stranahan. There was a different group for Blanche Ely. He said capacity was part of the conversation during negotiations to ensure they were not stretched too thin and he was not concerned it would be a problem.

A vote was taken on this item.

JJ-4. Change Order #1 - Tequesta Trace Middle School - Weston - ACA Construction, Inc. - Single Point of Entry - Project No. P.001852 (Approved)

Motion was made by Ms. Korn, seconded by Mrs. Good and carried, to approve Change Order #1, Tequesta Trace Middle School, ACA Construction, Inc., Single Point of Entry, Project No. P.001852, in the amount of \$1,006 and an increase in contract time of 2 days. Dr. Osgood and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote)

Agenda Items JJ-1, JJ-2, and JJ-4 were moved concurrently.

No discussion was held on these items.

A vote was taken on these items.

JJ-5. Acceleration of SMART Funding for Weight Room Renovations - Various Schools - Year 5 (2019) to Year 4 (2018) (Approved as Amended)

Motion was made by Ms. Korn, seconded by Mrs. Good and carried, to approve Acceleration of SMART funding for the athletic line items for weight room renovations for various schools, from Year 5 (2019) to Year 4 (2018). **This motion was superseded by a Motion to Amend (page 20).** Dr. Osgood and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote)

Mrs. Brinkworth referred to page 41 of the Adopted District Educational Facilities Plan (ADEFP) and questioned why the weight room at Coral Glades High was \$125,000 while the other high schools were \$121,000 and said that it was a concern.

Mr. Moquin responded that the amount could be changed to \$121,000 to coincide with the other schools and by approving the item today, it would allow all of the weight rooms to be completed before the start of the next school year.

Motion to Amend (Carried)

Motion was made by Mrs. Good, seconded by Mrs. Bartleman and carried, to amend page 41 of the Adopted District Educational Facilities Plan for Coral Glades High School by revising the amount for the Weight Room Renovation from \$125,000 to \$121,000. Dr. Osgood and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote)

Mrs. Bartleman asked if this was the first weight room the contractor had completed.

Shawn Cerra, Director, Athletics & Student Activities, replied no, Coral Glades was contracted by Perform Better, which was awarded 13 weight rooms. He said they were working in Phases 4 and 5 and Coral Glades would have its work done in July/ August in Phase 5.

A vote was taken on the Motion to Amend.

A vote was taken on this item as amended.

JJ-6. Second GMP Amendment to the Construction Services Agreement - Gilbane Building Company - Northeast High School - Oakland Park - SMART Program Renovations - Project No. P.001684 (Postponed)

Motion was made by Ms. Korn, seconded by Ms. Murray and carried, to approve Second GMP Amendment to the Construction Services Agreement dated November 1, 2016 with Gilbane Building Company, Northeast High School, SMART Program Renovations, Project No. P.001684, approve the use of CDI/Subguard, and approve additional funding in the amount of \$16,910,000. **This motion was superseded by a Motion to Postpone** (page 27). Dr. Osgood and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote)

The Chair received audience input on this item.

(The Superintendent resumed his seat on the dais.)

Mrs. Bartleman commented on a conversation her colleague made at the last workshop where it was suggested if the numbers came in higher than what the Board expected, that the Board would consider looking at the projects in the overall scope. She said that was before the Board received the \$30 million price tag for this item. She indicated at some point the Board needed to determine if it was making the wisest decision for the taxpayers' money. Her concern was that a band-aide was being placed on repairs for the same amount of money for replacements and believed it would make more sense to build a 136-class addition and remove five (5) buildings for the same cost. She stated she wanted an analysis on this project conducted to determine if a better decision could be made for that campus and the students for the same amount of money.

Mrs. Freedman indicated she had not received any information that the cost would be the same price for a teardown and re-build than a repair. She asked if any analysis or comparison was done to compare the two (2) scenarios.

Mr. Bobadilla replied Atkins North America, Inc. (Atkins) was asked for an estimate and he was told, with the exception of Buildings 25, 26, and 28, it would cost over \$100 million to replace the campus on a one-for-one.

Mrs. Freedman inquired if there were any buildings that were so dilapidated that it would cost as much to replace them than to repair them.

Danny Jardine, Deputy Program Director, CBRE/HEERY, Inc. (Heery), responded as they walked through each of the buildings, none of them were in a dilapidated condition that would be unsafe for the students. The buildings were not that bad but needed some tender-loving-care (TLC), modernization, and finishes.

Mrs. Bartleman stated Building 1 required \$5.6 million in work just on the needs assessment, which was not the actual cost; Building 2 needed \$405,000; and Building 3 needed \$1.6 million. She said a walk through the building clearly indicated a difference in the needs of all the buildings, as depicted in the needs assessment. She pointed out that the buildings may have good bones, but until the construction begins and they are cut into, there could be possible change orders for unforeseen repairs. She reiterated that she would like to see an analysis on the cost to replace the buildings versus a repair.

Dr. Osgood commented that she was aware there were other options and she was not concerned about the delay but rather what was right and a better utilization of the funds. She said where there may be other opportunities, staff should explore them and feel comfortable in bringing those options to the Board. She asked staff if they thought what they brought to the Board today was best utilization of dollars for this community going forward or, if something else could be offered.

Mr. Bobadilla replied this was in keeping with what the Board asked them to do, which was to go out and come back with scopes that were within the scopes and budgets approved by the Board.

Dr. Osgood asked if going to a smaller campus how it would affect the seating and programs being housed in the school.

Mr. Bobadilla responded typically what would happen for that type of program exercise was that Heery would go to the campus and look at the utilization of the different spaces in the buildings and then come back with a recommendation that the three (3) buildings could potentially be demolished and the funds would be utilized to build a much smaller building. He stated this was referred to as right-sizing. He said the question would be whether enough could be built to address capacity on the campus and, if so, would there be any desire for growth capacity to address it. He indicated it would take 2-3 months to complete that exercise.

Dr. Osgood said if there was no impact she wanted that option considered.

Ms. Korn commented that the Board did request to re-evaluate any projects if the price was going to cost significantly more than the original price. She said thresholds were given at the time and those recommendations were given because the thresholds indicated whether to do something new or to renovate.

Ms. Korn stated as the thresholds changed, the Board needed to be aware of it, be able to explore what it was, and then confirm the decision or take a different direction, which was something staff would have to provide to the Board. She suggested that staff provide the additional numbers and information on the buildings before the Board made a decision. She added that the Board needed to know what could be carved out or replaced within a scope of dollars similar to what was presented.

Mr. Bobadilla said they could bring that information back to the Board.

Mr. Runcie suggested if it was the desire of the Board for staff to determine if there was an opportunity to demolish "X" number of buildings and maintain the enrollment capacity, they could look at those dollars to see if they could be utilized to build some new buildings and complete the renovations on the rest of the buildings and have that information to the Board by the end of the school year. He recommended the Board give staff a few weeks so they could provide the type of analysis the Board was requesting.

Mrs. Good stated she did not want to re-design construction projects from the dais but was willing to receive an analysis to ensure the funds were being used in the best way possible. Her concern would be with the classroom addition and enrollment at the school. She said any addition would have to be within the scope of the enrollment of the school. She indicated she would support allowing staff the time to re-evaluate the options.

Mrs. Rich Levinson would support reviewing an analysis as well. She did not believe it was possible for equal amounts of renovations and new construction to be swapped out. She said the question of whether new construction would work into downsizing the Florida Inventory of School Houses (FISH) on the campus was what the conversation should be in the end.

Mr. Runcie responded it was the intent that staff would work with Demographics and Student Assignments to get them involved in this process to bring forth that data in conjunction with what was being done. In addition, he said they would work with the administration at the school regarding these scenarios since they would be the ones to work with whichever scenario was put in place. He indicated it would take the 2-3 months to do due diligence and the Board would be kept apprised of the progress.

Ms. Murray thanked her colleagues for opening up this topic. She commented that she had spoken to the Superintendent and staff regarding the condition of some of her schools. She inquired if there was a way to determine how to bring the monies for renovation within the budget to replace instead. She said the one issue not discussed was the cost of demolishing the buildings.

Ms. Murray liked the fact that that this was opened for discussion and a hard look at other options would be reviewed. She cautioned on downsizing a school, especially a high school. She was happy with the conversation because her schools were on the tail-end of the bond and whatever decisions made by the Board may help resolve some of the concerns that her community had raised in renovation versus replacement.

Mrs. Brinkworth thanked her colleagues for addressing many of the concerns she was going to raise and having the opportunity to look at the available options was the right thing to do for the community. She said the comments with regard to the buildings being unsafe or structurally unsound was very different than pressing the repair cost to a new structure and, in addition to the repair cost, what the ongoing maintenance cost would be over time and how they would be mitigated through the second look that would be forthcoming and the option of providing a new building on the campus.

Mrs. Brinkworth agreed with her colleague regarding right-sizing and did not want to look only at that but at what other options were available. She also agreed that this was a good conversation because of the impact it would have on other schools throughout the District. She said the catalyst for the conversation was the budget that the Board received today and was what spurred the conversation due to the amount being doubled over the original cost estimates.

Mrs. Rich Levinson stated in comparing this Construction Manager (CM) contract with the first one that was approved last week, the general conditions were about 17% higher for this one (Northeast) than Blanche Ely, and 20% higher than Stranahan. She wanted to know why the general conditions were so much higher than Blanche Ely's.

Mr. Jardine replied in regards to this contract, they chose to use some of the conditions that were allowed by the contract, whereas those from last week chose not to even though there was an allowable expense in the contract.

Mrs. Rich Levinson wanted to know why there was an \$895,000 automobile allowance per month and asked what it was for since the work takes place on site.

Mr. Jardine responded that it was a project expense given to their employees in leadership and was approved in the original Construction Manager At-Risk (CMAR) contract.

Mr. Bobadilla added that vehicle costs were not out of the ordinary in a CM model contract or any other contract as well. The difference was that it could actually be seen in a CMAR contract, whereas, in a hard bid scenario there would only be a single number and it would not be broken down.

Mrs. Rich Levinson was not talking about a hard bid; she wanted to know the difference between the contract approved last week and the two (2) that came to the Board today, which had the same delivery method but the general conditions were much higher with this vendor versus the vendor hired last week.

Mr. Jardine replied those were perks that the other vendor did not include to his employees.

Mrs. Rich Levinson commented when the Board had to make decisions on who to use, the costs were very important. She said after multiplying it out, it ended up costing \$500,000 more for Northeast and \$600,000 more for Stranahan for a total of \$1.1 million more for costs.

Mr. Runcie stated he would have staff provide more information when they come back to the Board, but it was his understanding that this was a more complex project than the one from last week.

Mrs. Rich Levinson referred to the Executive Summary, which stated the overage of the re-roofing was approximately \$10 million; however in the original needs assessment it was at \$3,150,000 and in this contract it was \$8 million for re-roofing. She asked how the cost was \$10 million over.

Mr. Jardine answered that it was not intended to be \$10 million over the roof budget even though it was stated in the Executive Summary, but the roofing part of that project would be \$8-\$10 million in totality for all the roofing components. If it were \$10 million over that would mean there was no budget written.

Mrs. Rich Levinson stated that the Executive Summary indicated an overage for several items, for example, the fire sprinklers were \$947,871 but showed an overage of \$1 million in the Executive Summary. She said when she read the Summary it needed to make sense.

Adrian Viera, Program Controls Manager, Atkins North America, Inc., replied to the re-roofing reference and said total cost may be \$3,150,000 approximately 70% was for construction budget costs (\$2 million) and the \$8 million in this contract, which did not include soft costs, actually became closer to \$10-\$11 million. He said the \$11 million minus the \$2 million equaled the approximate \$10 million overage.

Mrs. Brinkworth commented that the soft costs were supposed to be included, along with escalators.

Mr. Viera indicated he misspoke and that the \$3 million did include soft costs from the DEFP budget. He said within that there was a specific construction cost portion allocated to it, which was approximately 70% of that number.

Mr. Viera stated when compared to the Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP) presented today, there was roofing that was at \$8 million that had additional costs below the GMP line that had to be accounted for when comparing total construction costs.

Mrs. Rich Levinson requested that staff provide the soft costs when this comes back to the Board. She said it was very difficult to track just the construction costs, not the soft costs, and so forth. She stated she needed to have the total budget costs provided in the backup.

Mr. Bobadilla replied they would go back and re-visit how they communicate that information to make it easier to track the entire costs.

Mrs. Brinkworth concurred with her colleague that the total inclusive number had to be used when presenting to the Board because it was ultimately the budget. She added that it was not good to present a number to the public that was not all-inclusive and giving a Fix Limits of Construction Cost (FLCC) only gave a false sense of the true number.

Mrs. Bartleman stated if the asbestos was not part of the costs, an estimate needed to be provided for every project in order for the Board to be informed.

Mrs. Rich Levinson referred to the Atkins Risk Assessment Model and asked if the contracts coming in well over 100% were included in the model.

Mr. Viera answered in the affirmative.

Mrs. Brinkworth asked for an explanation for the CDI/Subguard cost.

Mr. Jardine responded Gilbane was offering Subguard, which covered all subcontractors, to provide payment performance bonds at a cheaper rate than if they required a subcontractor to obtain a bond. He said a typical subcontractor would pay 1½% - 2½% of his contract value to obtain that bond but by going through Gilbane it would be at 1% for the total cost. He indicated it was a net savings to the District of \$40,000-\$60,000 with better coverage on the bonding. He added it was not part of the cost of the work and was a below-the-line item.

Mrs. Brinkworth referred to #2 on page 25 and wanted to know what the District's exposure was in regards to change orders and if the change orders would have the ability to extend beyond the contingency. She asked what assurances did the Board have that it would not exceed the contingency amount.

Mr. Jardine replied there were no assurances that it could not potentially exceed the contingency amount, but he believed they had enough to cover it. Mr. Bobadilla added there were three (3) ways they try to mitigate that situation, which were, through the pre-construction services; through allowances; and by the contingency within the CM contract.

Mrs. Brinkworth referred to page 34 that showed the date was from 3/01/18 through 8/30/20 with associated costs, in which the 3/01/18 date had already passed, she was curious why the monthly charges would be included.

Mr. Jardine explained those were the durations they estimated they would be on site. He said a trailer would be set up onsite for that 28-month duration. Once the school opened in 2020 there would not be a need for a trailer on the job so it would be removed.

Mrs. Brinkworth stated she would not want something coming to the Board with the same delivery method that was post-dated.

Mr. Bobadilla replied they would not be paid without prior approval from the Board, in which a schedule of values would have to be submitted.

Mrs. Brinkworth wanted to ensure the community members were kept informed on this as well. In addition, she wanted know that the Bond Oversight Committee had the opportunity to weigh in too.

Mr. Runcie responded in terms of engaging the community, he said they would go ahead and follow-through with that but needed to get the numbers first before having a conversation. He commented that the Bond Oversight Committee's charge was to ensure this institution executed the projects identified for the public, but it was not to manage a project or supplant the role of this Board. He stated once the Board had made a decision, it would be up to the Committee to also provide external oversight to ensure what the Board directed staff to do had been executed. He suggested that item be brought back to the June 26, 2018 Regular School Board Meeting.

Motion to Postpone (Carried)

Motion was made by Mrs. Good, seconded by Mrs. Bartleman and carried, to postpone this item until the June 26, 2018 Regular School Board Meeting. Dr. Osgood and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote)

A vote was taken on the Motion to Postpone.

JJ-7. Second GMP Amendment to the Construction Services Agreement – Gilbane Building Company – Stranahan High School – Fort Lauderdale – SMART Program Renovations – Project No. P.001683 (Approved)

Motion was made by Ms. Korn, seconded by Mrs. Good and carried, to approve Second GMP Amendment to the Construction Services Agreement dated November 1, 2016 with Gilbane Building Company, Stranahan High School, SMART Program Renovations, Project No. P.001683, approve the use of CDI/Subguard, and approve additional funding in the amount of \$13,710,000. Dr. Osgood and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote)

The Chair received audience input on this item.

Mrs. Rich Levinson referred to the difference in roofing costs and asked if there was that much less demolition at Stranahan.

Mr. Jardine replied there was a difference of the existing roofs at Northeast versus Stranahan. He said there was a much tighter scope definition at Stranahan as to what had to happen, which were reflected in the numbers.

Ms. Korn stated the Requested Action was specifically asking to approve the use of Subguard and approve the additional funds so she wanted to know why the investment was being made for extra protection here when it was not in other cases.

Mr. Bobadilla explained it had been his experience that not every contractor used Subguard but when this contractor brought it to HEERY, they decided it was a good business decision and there would be a cost savings associated with the Subguard on those two (2) projects.

Mr. Runcie clarified the reason Subguard was listed here was because it was included in the costs of the general contractor, where normally the subcontractors were required to get it on their own.

Ms. Korn asked if this was offered by every contractor would the District accept it every time.

Mr. Jardine answered no.

Ms. Korn said if this was valuable to have for this project, then staff should consider this type of approach on other projects and not just when Gilbane proposed an offer to the District.

Mr. Bobadilla agreed and said it should be part of the negotiation discussions that takes place with all of the contractors.

Mrs. Bartleman wanted to know how much asbestos they anticipated.

Mr. Jardine did not have that information but would be able to provide it.

Mrs. Bartleman stated the glass room in the art room at Stranahan was in bad shape and she asked if something would be done to fix it.

Mr. Jardine replied that it would be addressed as part of the renovations.

Mr. Bobadilla added that they would follow up in writing on both issues.

Mrs. Brinkworth questioned that although the community had not asked, was it the direction of the Board to use the same consideration as used for Northeast or to move forward with this item.

Mrs. Bartleman stated an analysis was conducted for the cafeteria and she asked if an analysis was done for any of the other buildings or if there were any other outstanding issues found.

Mr. Jardine said he was not aware of any other buildings to the magnitude like the kitchen/cafeteria facility.

Mrs. Rich Levinson commented that almost \$7 million was added to this job for a cafeteria and she was not in favor of going through the same process

Mrs. Good concurred and said she would approve the item today.

Mrs. Brinkworth wanted to ensure the same approach was used for each project. In addition, decisions by the Board should not solely be based on a community request but rather on the data provided to the Board.

A vote was taken on this item.

K. OFFICE OF FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

KK. OFFICE OF FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

L. OFFICE OF PORTFOLIO SERVICES

LL. OFFICE OF PORTFOLIO SERVICES

LL-1. 2018 High School Graduation License Agreement with Lauderhill Performing Arts Center (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Good, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to approve the 2018 High School Graduation Facility Rental Agreement with Lauderhill Performing Arts Center for three graduation ceremonies. Dr. Osgood and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote)

Agenda Items LL-1 through LL-6 were moved and discussed concurrently.

April 24, 2018 Minutes of Regular Meeting
Page 29 of 33

Mrs. Good inquired if all of these were handled.

Mrs. Brown replied they were still working on the venue for Stoneman Douglas High but this would cover all other schools for students that were seniors and graduating. She said she expected the item for Stoneman Douglas to come to the Board on May 8, 2018. She indicated a survey went out to the community regarding the venue. She said she would have the results tomorrow and that the results would be included in the item when it comes to the Board in May.

Mrs. Rich Levinson referred to LL-2 for Nova Southeastern University (NSU) and asked why the cost continued to rise substantially over the years. She said more schools graduate there every year, three (3) times a day, and she did not understand why the partnership was not better.

Mrs. Brown responded they have continued to work with NSU and stated there were other expenses outside of their venue that were included in the total costs, such as lease detail, additional security, and other reciprocal services that have to be contracted.

Mrs. Rich Levinson commented that each year she had requested the previous year's cost be included and wanted staff to provide it. She thought it was disingenuous for staff to say the expenses had been reduced over the years when the District had been paying more. The only reason the expenses were reduced was due to the rehearsals, which she had brought up when she was elected to the Board. She asked if there was a reason why the Stoneman Douglas graduation was not being held at NSU.

Mrs. Brown replied there were not enough seats for the capacity as requested by the community. She said they were working with the BB&T Center to determine what opportunities they could offer.

Mrs. Rich Levinson stated Cypress Bay High was not allowed to be moved to the BB&T Center because it was more expensive, yet Stoneman Douglas was going to have its graduation there. She indicated that was going to create a huge problem because Cypress Bay had to travel to Miami every year.

Mrs. Brown said they received a significant offer from BB&T due to the tragedy and loss at Stoneman Douglas.

Mrs. Freedman shared that she brought the situation up to staff as well because she was concerned with the appearance. She was told it would not cost any more than if it were held at NSU.

Mrs. Brown explained there was an additional spend but it would not come to the Board as a request for additional funds. She wanted to be clear that the BB&T cost was more than NSU, even with the reductions provided, but the difference between what the Board had approved and the actual BB&T costs would be covered through donations.

Mrs. Bartleman inquired if Cypress Bay's graduation could be held the same day since the arena would already be set up and if BB&T would be willing to work with the cost.

Mrs. Brown replied replicating a graduation on the same day would not decrease the cost. She said she could check with BB&T to determine if they would be willing hold two (2) graduations but she thought it would be a challenge to do so at this late date.

Mrs. Freedman stated she asked staff the question as to whether Cypress Bay could have its graduation ceremony at BB&T as well and was told they did not want to reduce the price.

Mr. Cerra responded that he had conversations with the vice president who was over the management of BB&T, both in November and again in March after the tragedy, and was told they were unwilling to participate in any conversation in reducing Cypress Bay from the original \$47,500 cost. He said he did ask if both schools could be held the same day and they were not going to budge. In addition, he shared that the Superintendent followed up and went with him to the BB&T Center last Friday where they were told the BB&T would reduce the rate for Stoneman Douglas and would consider next year's commencement for Cypress Bay.

Mrs. Bartleman asked if this was going to be asked every year for Stoneman Douglas since it impacted four (4) classes.

Mrs. Brown replied no, it was just for this one (1) year.

A vote was taken on these items.

LL-2. 2018 High School Graduation Use License Agreement with Nova Southeastern University (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Good, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to approve the 2018 High School Graduation Use License Agreement with Nova Southeastern University for eighteen graduation ceremonies at the Rick Case Arena at the Don Taft University Center. Dr. Osgood and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote)

Agenda Items LL-1 through LL-6 were moved and discussed concurrently.

A vote was taken on these items.

LL-3. 2018 High School Graduation License Agreement with Performing Arts Center Authority, Broward Center for the Performing Arts (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Good, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to approve the 2018 High School Graduation License Agreement with Performing Arts Center Authority, Broward Center for the Performing Arts, for ten graduation ceremonies. Dr. Osgood and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote)

Agenda Items LL-1 through LL-6 were moved and discussed concurrently.

A vote was taken on these items.

LL-4. 2018 High School Graduation License Agreement with Pinnacle Venue Services, L.L.C. (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Good, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to approve the 2018 High School Graduation License Agreement with Pinnacle Venue Services, L.L.C. for the Watsco Center at the University of Miami. Dr. Osgood and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote)

Agenda Items LL-1 through LL-6 were moved and discussed concurrently.

A vote was taken on these items.

LL-5. 2018 High School Graduation Facility Rental Agreement with Broward College (Omni Auditorium) (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Good, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to approve the 2018 High School Graduation Rental with Broward College for Atlantic Technical College and Technical High School. Dr. Osgood and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote)

Agenda Items LL-1 through LL-6 were moved and discussed concurrently.

A vote was taken on these items.

LL-6. Lease Agreement with Hispanic Unity of Florida, Inc. (Approved)

Motion was made by Mrs. Good, seconded by Ms. Korn and carried, to approve the Lease Agreement between The School Board of Broward County, Florida (SBBC) and Hispanic Unity of Florida, Inc. for Community School South. Dr. Osgood and Mrs. Rupert were absent for the vote. (7-0 vote)

Agenda Items LL-1 through LL-6 were moved and discussed concurrently.

A vote was taken on these items.

Following the action of Agenda Item G-3, the following attorney-client session commenced at 2:00 p.m.

Announcement by General Counsel

The General Counsel's Office requested an attorney-client session for this School Board meeting. The session was scheduled for 12:45 p.m. to discuss settlement negotiations and/or strategy relative to the following pending litigation:

(1) The School Board of Alachua County, Florida; The School Board of Bay County, Florida; The School Board of Broward County, Florida; The School Board of Duval County, Florida; The School Board of Hamilton County, Florida; The School Board of Lee County, Florida; The School Board of Orange County, Florida; The School Board of Pinellas County, Florida; The School Board of Polk County, Florida; The School Board of St. Lucie County, Florida; The School Board of Volusia County, Florida; and The School Board of Wakulla County, Florida vs. Florida Department of Education; State Board of Education; Pam Stewart, in her Official Capacity as Florida Commissioner of Education; and Marva Johnson, in her Official Capacity as Chair of the State Board of Education, Case No. 2017 CA 002158, before the Circuit Court of the Second Judicial Circuit in and for Leon County, Florida and (2) The School Board of Alachua County, Florida; The School Board of Bay County, Florida; The School Board of Broward County, Florida; The School Board of Hamilton County, Florida; The School Board of Lee County, Florida; The School Board of Polk County, Florida; The School Board of St. Lucie County, Florida; The School Board of Volusia County, Florida; and The School Board of Wakulla County, Florida vs. Florida Department of Education; State Board of Education; Pam Stewart, in her Official Capacity as Florida Commissioner of Education; and Marva Johnson, in her Official Capacity as Chair of the State Board of Education, Case No. 2018 CA 000025, before the Circuit Court of the Second Judicial Circuit in and for Leon County, Florida, having been transferred from the Supreme Court of Florida, Case No. SC17-1996.

The following persons were to attend this attorney-client session: School Board Members Nora Rupert, Chair; Heather P. Brinkworth, Vice Chair; Robin Bartleman; Abby M. Freedman; Patricia Good; Donna P. Korn; Laurie Rich Levinson; Ann Murray; Dr. Rosalind Osgood; Robert W. Runcie, Superintendent of Schools; and Barbara J. Myrick, Esq.

The attorney-client session was properly advertised for today at 12:45 p.m., in accordance with Florida Statute 286.011 (8). Telephone conferencing or other telecommunications technology may have been used to permit absent Board Members to participate and to be heard by other School Board Members and Counsel.

The attorney-client session concluded and the School Board Meeting reconvened at 2:52 p.m.

<u>Adjournment</u> This meeting was adjourned at 6:19 p.m.

/dvn